

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,284	10/11/2000	Andrea C. Hughs-Baird	0112300/143	5144
29159 7	11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			ASHBURN, STEVEN L	
P. O. BOX 113 CHICAGO, II	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3714	- 1
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/686,284	HUGHS-BAIRD, ANDREA C.
13.130.	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven Ashburn	3714
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	·	,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi ee Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15-34 and 36-40</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	MV
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		
		MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Adv	isory Action	Part of Paper No. 24

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, the applicant request withdraw of finality because the rejection dated May 30, 2003 (paper no. 20) failed to address claim 38. Upon further review of the rejection, the claim was addressed at the bottom of page 5. Consequently, the request for withdraw of finality is denied. Second, the applicant argues that the claims distinguish over the combination of Thomas with Fier because Fier does not disclose a jackpot award if all but one of a player picks are award symbols. The examiner disagrees. Fier describes a large payout (i.e. jackpot) if all but one of a player picks are award symbols (e.g. four-of-a-kind). See col. 7, lines 50-58. Fier pays an larger jackpot if all of a player picks result in award symbols (e.g. royal flush). Notably, these features are within an artisan's ordinary knowledge that the expected payout of a gaming device is proportional to the odds of an outcome and the payout associated with the outcome.

Continuation of 10. Other: As stated in section 5, the amendment fails to overcome the prior rejection.